


# NSSE 2019 High-Impact Practices

University of Missouri-Kansas City

#### About Your High-Impact Practices Report



**Report Sections** 

Participation by Student Characteristics (p. 6) Displays your students' participation in each HIP by selected student characteristics.

#### Interpreting Comparisons

*HIP participation varies more among students within an institution than it does between institutions,* like many experiences and outcomes in higher education. As a result, focusing attention on overall participation rates amounts to examining the tip of the iceberg. It is equally important to understand how student engagement (including HIP participation) varies *within* your institution. The table on page 6 provides an initial look at how HIP participation varies by selected student characteristics. The Report Builder and your *Major Field Report* (both to be released in the fall) offer further perspectives on internal variation and can help you investigate your students' HIP participation in depth.

Kuh, G. D. (2008). High-impact educational practices: What they are, who has access to them, and why they matter. Washington, DC: Association of American Colleges and Universities. National Survey of Student Engagement (2007). Experiences that matter: Enhancing student learning and success—Annual Report 2007. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Center for Postsecondary Research.

Rocconi, L.M., & Gonyea, R.M. (2018). Contextualizing effect sizes in the National Survey of Student Engagement: An empirical analysis. *Research & Practice in Assessment,* 13 (Summer/Fall), pp. 22-38.


### **Overall HIP Participation**

### Statistical Comparisons

% Difference<sup>a</sup> ES<sup>b</sup> Difference<sup>a</sup> ES<sup>b</sup> Difference I Ę L I, ł J. E Ē E I J. E 

#### First-year

#### **First-Year Students**



## **NSSE 2019 High-Impact Practices**

### Response Detail University of Missouri-Kansas City

3611013					
Service-Learning		% Most or all	% Some	% None	
About how many of your courses at this institution have included a community- based project (service- learning)?		12	53	34	
		12	52	37	
		11	45	44	
	Similar Pell Group	12	45	44	
Learning Community	,	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Participate in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together.	ИМКС	20	12	14	54
	Competitors	24	9	11	55
	Peers	22	9	14	55
	Similar Pell Group	25	9	13	53
Research with a Facu	Ilty Member	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Work with a faculty member on a research	UMKC	16	17	20	47
project.	Competitors	25	11	12	52
	Peers	21	14	16	49
		22	12	16	50
Internship or Field Ex	nerience				~ <b>.</b>
Participate in an	perience	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
internship, co-op, field experience, student		54	24	7	15
teaching, or clinical				- 2	
placement.	Similar Pell Group	48	23	10	18
	Similar Peli Group	48	20	10	17
Study Abroad		% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Participate in a study abroad program.		6	9	14	71
abroad program.		18	7	8	67
		8	7	11	74
		9	7	11	72
Culminating Senior Experience		% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Complete a culminating senior experience	UMKC	36	27	11	26
		49	25	7	19
	Peers	40	30	10	20
	Similar Pell Group			10	

Note: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).

**Seniors** 

#### l I I. T. I. l I. I. I. L I. Т L I. I. Т I. I. Т Т T L Т Т I T T. L